PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference NEC04P315	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/JP2004/018908	International filing date (day/month/year) 17 December 2004 (17.12.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16 February 2004 (16.02.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form P	edition unless older edition indicated) CT/ISA/237		
Applicant NEC CORPORATION			

_		eter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the
This REPORT consists of a tota	l of 4 sheets, including this	cover sheet.
	•	of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference opter I) instead.
This report contains indications	relating to the following ite	ems:
Box No. I	Basis of the report	
Box No. II	Priority	
Box No. III	Non-establishment of op applicability	pinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	on
Box No. V		ler Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial nd explanations supporting such statement
Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the in	ternational application
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on	the international application
	-	esignated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but ader Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
		Date of issuance of this report 19 September 2006 (19.09.2006)
34, chemin des Colo	ombettes	Authorized officer Yoshiko Kuwahara
		e-mail: pt07@wipo.int
	International Searching Authorit This REPORT consists of a total In the attached sheets, any refere to the international preliminary reference to the international preliminary reference to the international preliminary reference to the international indications. Box No. I Box No. II Box No. II Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VII The International Bureau will conot, except where the applicant redate (Rule 44bis .2). The International Bureau vill conot, except where the applicant redate (Rule 44bis .2).	This report contains indications relating to the following ite Box No. I Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of or applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention applicability; citations a limit of the international Bureau will communicate this report to do not, except where the applicant makes an express request undate (Rule 44bis .2). The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

rom PC1/18/3/3 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION NEC04P315 See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. Priority date (day/month/year) 17.12.2004 16.02.2004 PCT/JP2004/018908 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant NEC CORPORATION This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion Box No. I Box No. II **Priority** Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Telephone No. Facsimile No.

Box	ox No. I Basis of this opinion	
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the lang filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	uage in which it was
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of internal	
	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	`
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessinvention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	sary to the claimed
	a. type of material	
	a sequence listing	
	table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format of material	
	in written format	
	in computer readable form	
i	c. time of filing/furnishing	
	contained in the international application as filed.	
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	,
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating there furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4.	Additional comments:	
	•	
	•	
	·	
:		
ļ 		•
·		

	nt under Rule 43bi anations supportin		d to novelty, inventi	ve step or industrial	l applicability;
1. Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-	6			YE
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-	6			YE
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-	6			YE
Citations and explanations:	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Document 4: JP 2002- Document 5: JP 2002- Document 6: JP 2002- (Remarks) The inventions the ISR nor obvious to	117855 A (N 170568 A (N of claims 1-6	EC Corp.), 19 EC Corp.), 14	April 2002, For June	Full text ull text	nents cited in
					•
	•				

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION NEC04P315 See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 17.12.2004 16.02.2004 PCT/JP2004/018908 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant NEC CORPORATION This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Lack of unity of invention. Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

Box	k No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	_	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	Ъ.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
	,	contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addit	ional comments:
		·

	nations supporting such statement	
. Statement	,	
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-6	YES
•	Claims	NO NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-6	VEC
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claima	YES NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-6	YES
	Claims	NO NO
Citations and explanations:		**************************************
(Remarks) The inventions	of claims 1-6 are neither described in any	of the documents sited in
	a person skilled in the art.	of the documents ched in
	-	of the documents cited in
	-	
	-	
	-	of the documents ched in
	-	of the documents ched in
	-	of the documents ched in
	-	of the documents ched in
the ISR nor obvious to	-	of the documents cited in
the ISR nor obvious to	a person skilled in the art.	of the documents ched in
the ISR nor obvious to	a person skilled in the art.	
the ISR nor obvious to	a person skilled in the art.	
the ISR nor obvious to	a person skilled in the art.	
the ISR nor obvious to	a person skilled in the art.	
the ISR nor obvious to	a person skilled in the art.	